

Minds Underground 学科写作挑战评分表

组委会将从以下六大评价维度对 MU 写作挑战的投稿文章进行综合评分，每个维度满分 5 分，总分为 30 分。

The organising committee will score submissions holistically on the following six evaluation dimensions, each of which is worth 5 points out of a total of 30 points.

Assessment Objective 评价维度	Point 分数	Assessment Criteria 评分细则
Originality and Creativity (5 points)	5	Demonstrates outstanding originality, with fresh, innovative ideas that go beyond conventional thinking.
	4	Displays creative thinking with some unique ideas and perspectives.
	3	Shows reasonable creativity but may include familiar or predictable ideas.
	2	Limited creativity, with ideas that are mostly conventional or expected.
	1	Lacks originality, relying heavily on clichés or well-worn arguments.
原创性和创造力 (满分 5 分)	5	展现出出色的原创性，提出新颖、创新、超越常规思维的想法。
	4	展现出创造性思维，有一些独特的观点和想法。
	3	表现出部分创造力，但文章中包含熟悉或可预测的想法。
	2	创造力有限，文章中主要展现出常规或预期内的想法。
	1	缺乏原创性，严重依赖陈旧、没有新意的论点。

Assessment Objective 评价维度	Point 分数	Assessment Criteria 评分细则
Relevance to the Question (5 points)	5	The essay stays focused on the question throughout, addressing all parts of the prompt clearly and thoroughly.
	4	Mostly focused on the question, with minor digressions or slightly incomplete coverage of the topic.
	3	Addresses the question but misses some key aspects or contains unrelated content.
	2	Strays from the question with significant omissions or irrelevant points.
	1	Does not address the question or does so minimally.
与主题的相关性 (满分 5 分)	5	整篇文章内容全程紧密围绕问题，清晰而全面地回应主题的所有部分。
	4	文章中大部分内容集中于主题，存在轻微的偏离或对主题的覆盖稍显不全。
	3	文章内容对主题有所涉及，但遗漏了一些关键方面或包含不相关的内容。
	2	明显偏离主题，严重遗漏或存在不相关的观点。
	1	未能主题问题或仅做了非常有限的回应。

Assessment Objective 评价维度	Point 分数	Assessment Criteria 评分细则
Critical Thinking and Depth of Analysis (5 points)	5	Demonstrates strong critical thinking with deep, insightful analysis. Ideas are well-explored and supported by logical reasoning.
	4	Shows good critical thinking with a clear line of argument and solid analysis. Minor gaps in depth or reasoning.
	3	Presents some critical thinking but lacks depth or thoroughness in analysis. Arguments may be underdeveloped.
	2	Limited analysis, with superficial reasoning or unsupported claims.
	1	Lacks critical thinking, with shallow analysis or unsupported ideas.
批判性思维与分析深度 (满分 5 分)	5	表现出强烈的批判性思维，分析深刻、富有洞察力。思想探索得当，并由逻辑推理支撑。
	4	表现出良好的批判性思维，论证思路清晰，有扎实的分析。分析深度或逻辑上有轻微的不足。
	3	表现出一些批判性思维，但在分析方面缺乏深度或全面性。论点可能发展不够充分。
	2	分析有限，逻辑推理不够深入或缺乏支持论据。
	1	缺乏批判性思维，分析肤浅或观点无法被论据支持。

Assessment Objective 评价维度	Point 分数	Assessment Criteria 评分细则
Structure and Organisation (5 points)	5	The essay is excellently structured, with clear and logical progression. Paragraphs are well-organised with smooth transitions.
	4	Well-organised, with minor issues in flow or transitions, but overall coherent.
	3	Generally organised, though some ideas may feel out of order or lack clear transitions.
	2	Lacks a clear structure, with disorganised ideas or poor transitions between paragraphs.
	1	Disorganised, with no clear structure or progression of ideas.
文章的结构与组织 (满分 5 分)	5	文章结构优秀，逻辑顺畅且清晰。段落组织良好，过渡自然流畅。
	4	文章组织良好，有轻微的流畅性或过渡问题，但整体上连贯。
	3	文章整体上组织合理，但有些观点可能显得杂乱或缺乏明确过渡。
	2	文章缺乏清晰结构，观点杂乱或段落之间过渡不良。
	1	文章组织凌乱，没有明确的结构或逻辑推进。

Assessment Objective 评价维度	Point 分数	Assessment Criteria 评分细则
Use of Evidence and Examples (5 points)	5	Strong and relevant examples or evidence are used throughout to support ideas, with clear connections to arguments.
	4	Good use of evidence and examples, though some ideas could benefit from further support or clearer links.
	3	Some evidence and examples are present, but they may be limited, unclear, or only loosely connected to the argument.
	2	Minimal use of evidence or examples, with weak connections to the main points.
	1	Little to no use of evidence or examples to support ideas.
证据与例证的使用 (满分 5 分)	5	在整篇文章中使用强有力且相关的例子或证据来支持观点，与观点之间的联系清晰。
	4	文章对证据和例子的使用良好，尽管某些观点可能需要进一步支证据支持或更明确的联系。
	3	文章中存在一些对证据和例子的使用，但数量有限、表述不清或与论点的联系松散。
	2	几乎没有使用证据或例子，且与主要观点的联系薄弱。
	1	几乎没有使用证据或例子来支持观点。

Assessment Objective 评价维度	Point 分数	Assessment Criteria 评分细则
Language, Grammar, and Style (5 points)	5	Demonstrates excellent command of language, with varied sentence structures and sophisticated vocabulary. No or very few errors in grammar or punctuation.
	4	Good use of language with minor grammar or punctuation issues. Style is mostly clear and effective.
	3	Reasonable control of language, though may have noticeable grammar, punctuation, or stylistic issues. Some sentences may lack clarity.
	2	Significant grammar or punctuation errors that affect clarity. Style may be overly simplistic or awkward.
	1	Frequent errors in grammar and punctuation, with unclear or poorly written sentences that hinder understanding.
语言、语法与写作风格 (满分 5 分)	5	展现出优秀的语言能力，句式多样，词汇丰富。几乎没有语法或标点错误。
	4	语言使用良好，语法或标点存在轻微问题。写作风格整体上清晰有效。
	3	语言使用合理，尽管可能有明显的语法、标点或写作风格的问题。某些句子的表达缺乏清晰度。
	2	存在明显的语法或标点错误，影响了表达的清晰度。写作风格可能过于单一或不够流畅。
	1	存在频繁的语法和标点错误，写作风格混乱或表达不清，妨碍对文章的整体理解。